

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/468,496 | 12/21/1999 | RON WAKSMAN M. D. | WELD-111-DIV | 3711 | |
| 7 | 590 01/22/2002 | | | | |
| GARY W MO | CFARRON ESQ | EXAMINER | | | |
| COOK EGAN MCFARRON & MANZO LTD 135 SOUTH LASALLE STREET | | | DESANTO, MATTHEW F | | |
| SUITE 4100 CHICAGO, IL | 60603 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3763 | L | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 01/22/2002 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|--|---|---|------------------|
| Office Action Summary | | 09/468,496 | WAKSMAN M. [|) ET AI |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | 7. ET AL. |
| | • | Matthew F DeSanto | 3763 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this | communication ap | | | ddress |
| Period for Reply | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO - Extensions of time may be available under th after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date - If the period for reply specified above is less to - If NO period for reply is specified above, the in - Failure to reply within the set or extended per - Any reply received by the Office later than thr earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR Status | DMMUNICATION. e provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 of this communication. han thirty (30) days, a rep maximum statutory period iod for reply will, by statute ee months after the mailin | 136(a). In no event, however, may ly within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) Ne, cause the application to become | r a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered tim IONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| 1) Responsive to communica | tion(s) filed on | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . | 2b)⊠ Tł | nis action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in closed in accordance with | | | | the merits is |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 29-40 is/are pend | ing in the application | on. | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) | is/are withdra | wn from consideration. | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allow | ed. | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are reject | ed. | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are object | ted to. | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>29-40</u> are subject | to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected | , | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on | | | • | |
| Applicant may not request the | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing corre | | | J disapproved by the Exami | ner. |
| If approved, corrected drawin | • | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and | • | kanimer. | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made o | | n priority under 35 LLS (| 2 & 110(a) (d) or (f) | |
| a) All b) Some * c) N | • | in phonty under 33 0.3.0 | 2. 8 119(a)-(u) or (i). | |
| <u> </u> | | ts have been received. | • | |
| | | | Application No | |
| | | | en received in this Nationa | al Stage |
| | he International Bເ | ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a) |). | Otago |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of | a claim for domest | ic priority under 35 U.S. | C. § 119(e) (to a provision | al application). |
| a) ☐ The translation of the fo 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT | | 5) Notice | ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper N of Informal Patent Application (P | |

Application/Control Number: 09/468,496

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species I - figure 2A, species II - fig 2B, species III - 2C are distinct embodiments for the treatment delivery system and Species A – fig 4, species B – fig 5, species C – fig 6A, species D – fig 7A, species E – fig 8A, species F – fig 9, species G – fig 10, species H – fig 12, species I – fig 13, species J – fig 14, species K – fig 15A, species L – fig 17, species M – fig 18, species N – fig 19 are distinct embodiments for the catheter tube.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species from species I through III, and one from species A through N or prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is no generic claim.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

Application/Control Number: 09/468,496

Art Unit: 3763

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Gary McFarron on December 17, 2001 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 09/468,496

Art Unit: 3763

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew F DeSanto whose telephone number is 1-703-305-3292. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 1-703-308-5181. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 1-703-305-3590 for regular communications and 1-703-305-3590 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 1-703-308-0858.

Matthew DeSanto Patent Examiner December 17, 2001

Brian L. Casler Primary Examiner